

**Discussion of  
“Prudential Policy with Distorted Beliefs ”  
by Eduardo Davila and Angus Walther  
2021 AEA Meetings**

---

Javier Bianchi<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Federal Reserve Bank of Minneapolis

The views expressed herein are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the Federal Reserve Bank of Minneapolis or the Federal Reserve System.

Key question the paper tackles: How do expectations affect leverage, investment and **optimal financial regulation**?

Key question the paper tackles: How do expectations affect leverage, investment and **optimal financial regulation**?

- Not possible to answer this question under rational expectation with full information.

Key question the paper tackles: How do expectations affect leverage, investment and **optimal financial regulation**?

- Not possible to answer this question under rational expectation with full information.

Davila-Walther's approach:

1. Equilibrium for arbitrary beliefs of investors & creditors
2. Optimal leverage limit, given any beliefs by the planner

Key question the paper tackles: How do expectations affect leverage, investment and **optimal financial regulation**?

- Not possible to answer this question under rational expectation with full information.

Davila-Walther's approach:

1. Equilibrium for arbitrary beliefs of investors & creditors
2. Optimal leverage limit, given any beliefs by the planner
3. Use of Gateaux derivatives for general characterization

Key question the paper tackles: How do expectations affect leverage, investment and **optimal financial regulation**?

- Not possible to answer this question under rational expectation with full information.

Davila-Walther's approach:

1. Equilibrium for arbitrary beliefs of investors & creditors
2. Optimal leverage limit, given any beliefs by the planner
3. Use of Gateaux derivatives for general characterization

Very interesting results

## Quick Summary of Results

**Investment** goes up with optimism (no matter who is optimistic)

## Quick Summary of Results

**Investment** goes up with optimism (no matter who is optimistic)

- Investors optimistic: they think they can obtain larger profits given interest rate schedule

## Quick Summary of Results

**Investment** goes up with optimism (no matter who is optimistic)

- Investors optimistic: they think they can obtain larger profits given interest rate schedule
- Creditors optimistic: they are willing to lend cheaper, so investment more attractive

## Quick Summary of Results

**Investment** goes up with optimism (no matter who is optimistic)

- Investors optimistic: they think they can obtain larger profits given interest rate schedule
- Creditors optimistic: they are willing to lend cheaper, so investment more attractive

Effects on **leverage** depend on *who* becomes more optimistic

- Investors optimistic: want to invest more but creditors do not share rosy picture, borrow at  $\uparrow r \Rightarrow$  *lower leverage*
- Creditors optimistic: for same  $k$ , investors can borrow at  $\downarrow r \Rightarrow$  *higher leverage*

## Quick Summary of Results

**Investment** goes up with optimism (no matter who is optimistic)

- Investors optimistic: they think they can obtain larger profits given interest rate schedule
- Creditors optimistic: they are willing to lend cheaper, so investment more attractive

Effects on **leverage** depend on *who* becomes more optimistic

- Investors optimistic: want to invest more but creditors do not share rosy picture, borrow at  $\uparrow r \Rightarrow$  *lower leverage*  
 Restricting leverage is not optimal
- Creditors optimistic: for same  $k$ , investors can borrow at  $\downarrow r \Rightarrow$  *higher leverage*  
 Restricting leverage is optimal

## Quick Summary of Results

**Investment** goes up with optimism (no matter who is optimistic)

- Investors optimistic: they think they can obtain larger profits given interest rate schedule
- Creditors optimistic: they are willing to lend cheaper, so investment more attractive

Effects on **leverage** depend on *who* becomes more optimistic

- Investors optimistic: want to invest more but creditors do not share rosy picture, borrow at  $\uparrow r \Rightarrow$  *lower leverage*  
 Restricting leverage is not optimal
- Creditors optimistic: for same  $k$ , investors can borrow at  $\downarrow r \Rightarrow$  *higher leverage*  
 Restricting leverage is optimal

Paper also looks at case with bailouts and monetary policy

## Comments

## Assessing Beliefs

- Agents in the economy (households, firms, governments) have different beliefs

## Assessing Beliefs

- Agents in the economy (households, firms, governments) have different beliefs
- Some evidence that private agents have underestimated downside risk pre-2008 (e.g. Cheng, Raina and Xiong)

# Assessing Beliefs

- Agents in the economy (households, firms, governments) have different beliefs
  - Some evidence that private agents have underestimated downside risk pre-2008 (e.g. Cheng, Raina and Xiong)
- ★ But excessive optimism may also apply to the government
- Bernanke, 2005: House prices unlikely to fall nationwide
  - IMF downsizing 2005

# Assessing Beliefs

- Agents in the economy (households, firms, governments) have different beliefs
- Some evidence that private agents have underestimated downside risk pre-2008 (e.g. Cheng, Raina and Xiong)
  
- ★ But excessive optimism may also apply to the government
  - Bernanke, 2005: House prices unlikely to fall nationwide
  - IMF downsizing 2005
  
- ★ Two practical questions:
  - Paper's characterization is for *any* beliefs, but which ones should social planner use?

# Assessing Beliefs

- Agents in the economy (households, firms, governments) have different beliefs
- Some evidence that private agents have underestimated downside risk pre-2008 (e.g. Cheng, Raina and Xiong)
- ★ But excessive optimism may also apply to the government
  - Bernanke, 2005: House prices unlikely to fall nationwide
  - IMF downsizing 2005
- ★ Two practical questions:
  - Paper's characterization is for *any* beliefs, but which ones should social planner use?
  - How to empirically assess agents' beliefs?

# Internalities and/or Externalities

Alternative approach to fin. regulation is based on externalities

- Induce agents to choose what is right for them individually vs. what is wrong for them individually but socially desirable

# Internalities and/or Externalities

Alternative approach to fin. regulation is based on externalities

- Induce agents to choose what is right for them individually vs. what is wrong for them individually but socially desirable

Two approaches possibly interact in important ways

# Internalities and/or Externalities

Alternative approach to fin. regulation is based on externalities

- Induce agents to choose what is right for them individually vs. what is wrong for them individually but socially desirable

Two approaches possibly interact in important ways

- Bianchi-Boz-Mendoza 2012 prudential tax with pecuniary externalities and non-RE beliefs

$$\tau = \underbrace{\frac{E_t^P[u'(t+1)]}{E_t^I[u'(t+1)]}}_{\text{internality}} - 1 + \underbrace{\frac{E_t^I[\kappa' \mu' Q' u''_{t+1}] - E_t^P[\kappa' \mu' Q' u''_{t+1}]}{E_t^I[u'_{t+1}]}}_{\text{interaction}} + \underbrace{\frac{E_t^I[-\kappa' \mu' Q' u''_{t+1}]}{E_t^I[u'_{t+1}]}}_{\text{externality}}$$

# Internalities and/or Externalities

Alternative approach to fin. regulation is based on externalities

- Induce agents to choose what is right for them individually vs. what is wrong for them individually but socially desirable

Two approaches possibly interact in important ways

- Bianchi-Boz-Mendoza 2012 prudential tax with pecuniary externalities and non-RE beliefs

$$\tau = \underbrace{\frac{E_t^P[u'(t+1)]}{E_t^I[u'(t+1)]}}_{\text{internality}} - 1 + \underbrace{\frac{E_t^I[\kappa' \mu' Q' u''_{t+1}] - E_t^P[\kappa' \mu' Q' u''_{t+1}]}{E_t^I[u'_{t+1}]}}_{\text{interaction}} + \underbrace{\frac{E_t^I[-\kappa' \mu' Q' u''_{t+1}]}{E_t^I[u'_{t+1}]}}_{\text{externality}}$$

# Internalities and/or Externalities

Alternative approach to fin. regulation is based on externalities

- Induce agents to choose what is right for them individually vs. what is wrong for them individually but socially desirable

Two approaches possibly interact in important ways

- Bianchi-Boz-Mendoza 2012 prudential tax with pecuniary externalities and non-RE beliefs

$$\tau = \underbrace{\frac{E_t^P[u'(t+1)]}{E_t^I[u'(t+1)]}}_{\text{internality}} - 1 + \underbrace{\frac{E_t^I[\kappa' \mu' Q' u''_{t+1}] - E_t^P[\kappa' \mu' Q' u''_{t+1}]}{E_t^I[u'_{t+1}]}}_{\text{interaction}} + \underbrace{\frac{E_t^I[-\kappa' \mu' Q' u''_{t+1}]}{E_t^I[u'_{t+1}]}}_{\text{externality}}$$

# Internalities and/or Externalities

Alternative approach to fin. regulation is based on externalities

- Induce agents to choose what is right for them individually vs. what is wrong for them individually but socially desirable

Two approaches possibly interact in important ways

- Bianchi-Boz-Mendoza 2012 prudential tax with pecuniary externalities and non-RE beliefs

$$\tau = \underbrace{\frac{E_t^P[u'(t+1)]}{E_t^I[u'(t+1)]} - 1}_{\text{internality}} + \underbrace{\frac{E_t^I[\kappa' \mu' Q' u''_{t+1}] - E_t^P[\kappa' \mu' Q' u''_{t+1}]}{E_t^I[u'_{t+1}]}_{\text{interaction}} + \underbrace{\frac{E_t^I[-\kappa' \mu' Q' u''_{t+1}]}{E_t^I[u'_{t+1}]}_{\text{externality}}$$

- Interaction term is larger if investors are more optimistic
  - Investors belief of  $\mu'$  is lower

# Study of Monetary Policy

Monetary policy is modelled, in effect, as a tax/subsidy on lending rebated lump sum & a deadweight loss  $\mathcal{L}(r - r^*) \geq 0$

- An increase in  $r$  reduces debt, leverage and  $k$
- But not equivalent to  $\bar{b}$  because  $r$  affects  $k$ , given lev.

# Study of Monetary Policy

Monetary policy is modelled, in effect, as a tax/subsidy on lending rebated lump sum & a deadweight loss  $\mathcal{L}(r - r^*) \geq 0$

- An increase in  $r$  reduces debt, leverage and  $k$
- But not equivalent to  $\bar{b}$  because  $r$  affects  $k$ , given lev.

★ Does monetary policy still have prudential role in more explicit monetary model?

- *Monetary policy would affect the marginal benefits from investment*

# Final Remarks

Interesting and important paper

- Very clean analytical and intuitive results
- Potentially a building block in exciting research agenda

Avenues for fruitful future work

- Interaction between externalities and internalities
- More explicit monetary considerations